


No. 120 AUGUST 1978

Spearhead

20p

*British jury
puts Silkin's nose out of joint . . .*



VICTORY
FOR
THE
PEOPLE
VERSUS
RACE
LAW
TYRANTS

Nationalist comment

WHAT WE THINK

on the month's news

Triumph over Race Law

Last month — not that you would have noticed — the tyranny of race relations legislation suffered its greatest defeat so far. Two men charged at Warwick Crown Court with incitement to racial hatred were acquitted by a British jury. It was the first case brought by the Attorney-General Sam Silkin under the new, toughened up Race Relations Act of 1976. And it failed.

The difference between the reaction to last month's case and the trial in January of Kingsley Read, who was charged under the old Race Relations Act, could not have been more marked. By contrast to the Read acquittal, which brought a sustained screech from the media and the "Get Judge McKinnon" lynch mob of Marxist and Zionist Labour MPs, the acquittal at Warwick was followed by a silence that was deafening. The reason is obvious. So shocked was the Establishment at the complete failure of its first test case prosecution under the

toughened-up 1976 Race Act, and so necessary was it to prevent the public from realising the full implications of that defeat, that silence was deemed the shrewdest policy.

The full implications of the case were indeed momentous. As with the choice of Kingsley Read, the Establishment had carefully selected two representatives of a fringe, politically illiterate organisation, British Movement, who had expressed their opposition to multi-racialism in the crudest language possible by reading out in public a provocative statement, in the hope that the first prosecution under the new Act (which does not require 'intent' to be proved) would sustain an easy conviction. Having succeeded once, then the way would be open for catching the bigger and much more politically dangerous fish in the National Front.

However, they failed to reckon firstly with Reed-Herbert, Gegan & Co., the National Front's solicitors, who accepted the case and with their learned colleagues in court won an historic acquittal. That achievement will prove a milestone in the fight by the really serious-minded and politically mature patriots of the National Front against the enforcement of multi-racialism in Britain. Secondly, they failed to reckon with the commonsense and fairness of the ordinary Englishmen on the jury, who threw out of court a subtle piece of tyranny drawn up by the most adroit, alien minds.

Behind closed doors

This journal cannot of course prejudge the case of former Liberal leader Jeremy Thorpe, who has been accused with three others of conspiracy to murder his erstwhile friend, homosexual male model Norman

Scott. Without referring to the Thorpe case, we are however prompted to speculate generally about the corruption and evil which undoubtedly does lurk behind the smug facade of liberal Establishment politics.

The politicians of the established parties, who so regularly vilify the National Front as if it were the very embodiment of satanic evil, enjoy a special sort of privilege and protection from media exposure precisely because they are pillars of the Establishment. Whilst the media enthusiastically supports them with the most vitriolic smears against the National Front as the bogeymen of British politics, those same media hacks are ever mindful of the unwritten law by which the decadence and criminality of Establishment politicians — which they know perfectly well exists — is as far as possible concealed from view so as to maintain public confidence.

What hypocrisy it is that the public should be continually regaled with trivial tales from years ago about the political youth of National Front leaders, whilst — if it were known — there is being played out at this very moment behind the closed doors of Establishment politics a saga of crime, corruption and depravity of positively Roman proportions.

There are dissidents and dissidents

All around the world there are people of many nations and races and political and religious persuasions who are being deprived of their rights: the right to free speech, the right to dissent, the right to worship, the right to national freedom and self-expression.

In Czechoslovakia people who played a leading part in the 'Prague Spring' of 1968 are today being denied the right to earn a living except at the most menial of jobs, such as cleaning windows and acting as stokers in boiler rooms, even though they may be people of the highest academic qualifications. They are still under constant surveillance by the secret police.

In the newly emergent African dictatorships millions have no rights at all, except to starve. Jails are full of thousands and thousands who dared to oppose those in power.

In Spandau prison in Berlin Rudolf Hess still remains a captive after 37 years in which he has suffered loss of freedom. Now an old man in his eighties, he is the victim of a most appalling deprivation of human rights. A few years ago the authorities consented for his wife to see him at Xmas time — provided the two didn't actually touch each other! Opinion throughout the Western World is nearly unanimous that Hess's punishment far exceeds any guilt he may have shared for the events taking place in Germany before and during the war. It is only on the insistence of the Soviets that he may not be released.

In Russia itself there are numerous

S P E A R H E A D

No. 120 AUGUST 1978

Office: 91 Connaught Road, Teddington, Middlesex (Tel: 01-977 2452)

Editor: Richard Verrall

Contributing Editor: Martin Webster

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its founder and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from potential advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of each month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication which should normally be not longer than 1,250 words and typed in double-spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgment require such alteration to be made.

Those wishing to re-print *Spearhead* articles must first gain the permission of the Editor and undertake to include with the re-printed matter the author's name and the name and address of *Spearhead*.



Far left:
Alexander
Ginzburg

Left:
Anatole
Scharansky

captive nations, such as the small Baltic states of Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania, which have been totally denied the right of national self-determination and in which those patriots who have protested against the denial of such rights are still languishing in Soviet jails or toiling in slave labour camps.

We do not hear very much, however, about these people's loss of rights — just the occasional newspaper article expressing regret.

Why then the brassy blare of publicity, why the symphony of weeping and wailing that recently accompanied the trials and imprisonment of Soviet dissidents Scharansky and Ginzburg? What was so special about their fate in a world where millions are being persecuted for their unwillingness to toe the line of communism?

We'll tell you. The Czechs who fought for national freedom, the Africans who are treated like cattle by their Kremlin-backed despots, the patriots of the captive Baltic nations, Rudolf Hess in his lonely prison cell, all are unfortunate enough not to have an all powerful machine of propaganda and diplomatic pressure working for them: the machine of International Zionism.

They, unlike Scharansky and Ginzburg, are not Zionists and therefore special creatures whose violated rights represent a special outrage against humanity and civilisation. They are just ordinary mortals who have to take their persecution on the chin because they do not belong to that privileged caste.

Hard cheese for the Czechs, the Latvians, Estonians, Lithuanians, Africans, Cambodians, Vietnamese, Rudolf Hess and many more! It was just their rotten luck not to be born the children of Israel and to have half the world wringing its hands over their fate in newspapers, over television and down diplomatic wires the length and breadth of this planet. That's life!

What a skive!

A man goes to sleep on the job at Vauxhall motor works and is, perhaps unsurprisingly, dismissed. He appeals to an industrial tribunal and gets reinstated with £903 compensation thrown in for good measure. Unbelievable? No, a commonplace story of Britain in the 1970s!

It was not as if the man, an Asian, just nodded off inadvertently at his work bench; he fully organised himself to bed down for several hours.

When British people read of events like these, while at the same time knowing of the low productivity and uncompetitiveness of British industry, they are liable to get demoralised, and who could blame them? For years and years, this and similar stories have come from out of our factories. Yet what is done about it? Who gives a lead to change the rules so that things like that just cannot happen? No one! As a nation we will just complain and say that that's just one more example of the state the country is in, but nothing will be done about it because government has not the will to do anything.

In this way do we slide down the path to national extinction.

Government allows anarchy

And here is another example of government loss of will. At the Rolls Royce factory at East Kilbride, near Glasgow, there lie 4 aero engines ordered by Chile for its air force. The engines have been there since 1974!

Why? Because the unions in charge at the factory have Chile on their blacklist on grounds of political dislike — Chile is a country which in 1973 underwent a patriotic revolution as a result of which a treasonable marxist government was kicked out, law and order restored and communism extinguished as an effective force for good and all. The British left has hated Chile ever since.

Although British workers benefit from Chilean orders, the unions want to cut off supplies of all strategic goods to Chile so as to harm the new Chilean government all they can. This is why the aero engines have been kept from delivery.

The unions threaten that there will be a mass picket (by implication an obviously violent one) if anyone comes to take the engines away. Yet the British Government has done nothing whatever to ensure that the order is honoured.

And this at a time when Mr. Callaghan is urging us that we need to step up our export trade!

Pathetic is too inadequate a word.

How to save jobs —the latest

The trade unions have hit on a new way to stem the tide of unemployment in Britain — give away Britain's industrial products to the Third World!

This latest flash of economic genius comes from the Transport and General Workers' Union and has engaged the interest of Minister for Overseas Development Judith Hart. The suggestion is that we start by supplying hundreds of millions of pounds worth of tractors to developing countries. The bill? Oh, that small matter can be taken care of by the Government.

Says Mr. Eric Bone, an official of the TGWU, "Providing tractors to help feed the people of the Third World is better than supplying them with guns and ammunition to fight with and it would help our tractor industry through a very difficult period..."

To the execution of this piece of wisdom there is, however, a snag. Many of the tractors are of such sophistication that their operation is too complicated for the brains of drivers in Third World countries. Expensive training programmes would be needed too. We sent scores of modern tractors to Nigeria 5 years ago but they were ruined because it did not occur to the Nigerians that they required lubricating oil!

Perhaps the answer to that one is to supply a man with each tractor who pops out of a compartment and tops up the oil level whenever needed.

Free of course.

STUDENTS

If you are already or expect shortly to be enrolled as a student (full time) at a university, college or other centre for further education please REGISTER with the Young National Front Student Liaison Officer:

Nick Griffin,
Hill House,
Huntingfield,
Halesworth,
Suffolk.

Give your full name, your home address, your NF membership number and name of your home NF Branch; the name and address of the university/college you attend or will be attending and the address of your 'digs' if different; details of the subject you are/will be studying and the qualification you are seeking.

National Front News

Editor: Martin Webster

A broadsheet published monthly in support of the National Front. Subscriptions only for 5 copies or more. 12 issues of 5 copies, £4.44.

Reduced rates for bulk supplies given on request. Send 12p for sample copy now.

What a Country!

A healthy nation is one which is racially unified and in which there is a community of interest between its leaders and the people. Britain today is a land of racial chaos in which the leaders of the nation, having deliberately betrayed their country and people, now look to the alien invaders for their political survival whilst ignoring the plight of the British majority. Opposition to multi-racialism is "extremism" and is met with the threat of the Race Board and imprisonment. The Establishment of the nation, no longer wishing to represent the interests of their own kind, seek to ingratiate themselves more and more with the growing hordes of Afro-Asia. As our pictures show, this race treason represents a deep decadence and sickness within the nation. Drastic political surgery is necessary.



The Queen's First Minister plucks at a sitar during the opening of the "Institute of Indian Culture" in Kensington. This crass spectacle of British decadence probably garnered a few more Indian votes for the Labour Party.



How to win power in today's Britain: the Conservative leader electioneering in Birmingham. Having abandoned the interests of the British majority, party political survival depends on grovelling among as many dark skins as possible to win the alien vote. Extra votes from native Britons are picked up with cheap lies about "stopping immigration".



Our future king gives the royal stamp to multi-racialism at a London exhibition to celebrate Britain's "multi-ethnic" culture. With a careful eye on subsequent press photographs, our monarch-to-be made sure his degrading gyrations were of a suitably multi-ethnic kind. Politicians have now press-ganged the Royal Family into minority racism.



Two generations of treason. Harold MacMillan, Conservative architect of the Immigrant invasion in the 1950's and father of Britain's "multi-racial" catastrophe, enjoys the fruits of his work at the Institute of Indian Culture with a Labour Prime Minister. The racial destruction of Britain is an all-party arrangement.



The end product of it all: culture distortion and the degrading of the White race. A British schoolboy learning turban-tying at a Sikh temple during a trip organised by his school in Leeds.

TERRY DENVILLE-FAULKNER

THE IRREVERSIBLE BRAIN DRAIN

THEY SAY there is nothing new under the sun and this is usually true; human affairs tread a predictable path. When, however, a few years ago, Parliament passed a new law permitting abortion, not on special medical or compassionate grounds but purely as a matter of personal preference and convenience — penny in the slot machine abortion as it were — it did something which no civilised, or for that matter uncivilised nation had ever done before. It put in jeopardy a sizeable slice of our future generations for the sake of a selfish whim of the present.

The measure went through almost casually; no referendum, no "great debate"; the House would have been better attended if it had been proposed to put another penny on Income Tax. And yet it must have incalculable consequences for our country, and it has divided us into two camps; the pro-abortionists or trendy-libbers, and the anti-abortionists or trad-moralists. Most National Front members are opposed to mass abortion, but as there are a number who disagree, a brief review of the main arguments against abortion might be of value.

Abortion on demand is, as might easily be guessed, a liberal brainchild and nothing more vividly illustrates various aspects of our latterday liberalised culture. Firstly, it is the logical offspring of the Permissive Society; "Do what you will shall be all of the law" and, having done it, find some way to dodge paying the piper. Secondly, pro-abortion provided yet another splendid chance for the highly profitable protestology industry to swing into action, mobs of rentamorons, headed by the usual trendy bishops and the fading pop personalities hoping to hit the headlines.

Thirdly, here was a whole new source of fashionable "doublespeak". Demand abortion is proclaimed to be "compassionate", though some of us might feel that, as a measure which denies life to hundreds of thousands of babies a year, it is as "compassionate" as Herod's massacre of the innocents. It "advances human dignity" — by consigning potential human offspring to the hospital litter-bins en masse. Many women, bemused by this diet of liberal pap, declare that the right to abortion is an essential part of women's liberation, and so it certainly is — just as bogus and debasing as the rest of the lib movement, and ultimately, just as defeminising.

For nationalists, there are even more cogent arguments to put forward. A race which makes a practice of aborting its progeny is a race of lemmings; it is driven by a deathwish. The number of coloured



Marxist pro-abortion demonstrator

abortions performed in this country is significantly small. Add to this factor the already disproportionately high coloured birthrate and the scales are tilting ever more ominously against the ultimate survival of our race.

It has been said, and I believe quite rightly, that the rapid decline of Great Britain is due in no small part to the corresponding decline in the quality of our leadership, owing to our heavy losses in the two world wars. The Battle of Passchendaele alone destroyed the prime of our leadership cadres, the men who would still be governing us and left us with the dross which now disgraces the seats of power. Time and nature, left to themselves, might have repaired this damage to our race, but the abortionist's knife has already claimed more young Britons than both world wars. We deplore the brain-drain which takes so many of our finest talents overseas to America and the dominions, and yet we allow our future potential to vanish down the one wholly irreversible drain.

Were a programme of compulsory euthanasia to be announced for all citizens over, say, seventy, a howl of protest would arise. Yet such a programme would be more coldly logical than mass abortion, since at three score and ten the usefulness of most of us is on the wane, our work is largely done. Of course, no such measure is likely, if only

because the bulk of our legislators are nearer to the tomb than the womb.

At a Paris press conference where spokesmen of all political and religious persuasions were putting forward their views on abortion, one woman, a leading pro-abortionist, began to speak and soon the reporters stopped writing because she was not talking about abortion any longer. She said bluntly: "We are fighting to destroy Western society. To destroy it we have to destroy the family on which this society is based, and to do this you have to strike at the weakest link in the family, the unborn child. Hence we are for abortion." This is the real, underlying reason why any attempt to repeal the law permitting abortion on demand meets with such virulent opposition.

When, four or five years ago an anti-abortion rally, probably the largest demonstration ever seen in London, over 100,000 people of assorted faiths and allegiances, marched from Hyde Park to Downing Street with a petition, its members were startled to share an experience only too familiar to the National Front, as the political sewage outlets discharged their reeking contents onto the streets to howl abuse and hurl missiles. The elderly nuns and country parsons were bewildered at the hatred they had aroused. They failed to realise they were standing in the way of those sworn to destroy "Western society."

Let us, then, be clear about this once and for all. Abortion is despair. It is excused by selfish standards and false priorities. Abortion is fear and failure; fear of the future and of our own capability for coping with its problems, failure of politicians and administrators. It indicates our loss of nerve as a nation and as a race. Above all, it is a calculated weapon in the hands of the nation-wreckers, the Final Solution to the problem of European pre-eminence.

Oswald Spengler saw the mark of decline in a civilisation when the having of children became a matter of debate. Children are our future. Let us make them our glory.

SPEARHEAD READERS IN SOUTH AFRICA

There is now a rapidly growing National Front in South Africa. To help its growth, write to: The Secretary, Mr. Jack Noble, P.O. Box 10786, Johannesburg 2000. Telephone 642-5939.

SPEARHEAD FUND

Money over and above normal income from sales is needed to build up SPEARHEAD.

Your help would be greatly appreciated. Please make out your postal orders or cheques to Spearhead, and send them to: 91 Connaught Road, Teddington, Middx.

A RECENT vicious attack by the *Washington Post* on the World Anti-Communist League (WACL) presents us with an opportunity to analyse and explain the methods of psychological warfare employed by Communism's clandestine promoters and protectors.

"The Fascist Specter Behind the World Anti-Communist League" — this was the heading in bold type given to a 2200 word article under the by-line of one Paul W. Valentine, accompanied by photographs of four "participants" and a big picture of the stage at the 11th annual conference of the world body, held in Washington towards the end of April, with its slogan for the year: **Unity for Nationalism Against Communist Aggression.**

Then came the paragraphs containing the main theme of all that was to follow:

But behind the speeches, the pomp, the meticulous attention to parliamentary details and orthodox anti-communist pronouncements other forces were stirring within WACL — forces of authoritarianism, neo-fascism, racial hierarchy and anti-Semitism. Unknown to many participants, activists who have quietly penetrated portions of WACL since at least 1972, were establishing new beachheads in Latin American and European contingents, attracting private Saudi Arabian money and using the WACL infrastructure to create a loose consortium for the diverse elements of the international ultra right.

The methods used by liberal establishment mass media can be briefly classified as follows:

1. Keep the public mind in a perpetual state of confusion and ignorance by multiplying contentious issues, by withholding essential information and by blocking the processes of thought and understanding with vast quantities of useless information; as Solzhenitsyn remarked the other day in his address at Harvard, "people have a right not to know — the right not to have their divine souls stuffed with gossip, nonsense, vain talk."

2. Give the maximum publicity, exposure and praise to those willing to plug the liberal establishment line.

3. Create and maintain vast "no-go" areas in the realm of public debate; in other words, frighten people away from inquiring into and discussing certain highly important and socially and politically relevant issues.

4. Stamp out with accusation and denigration individuals and organisations which resist other methods of control.

In practice, of course, all these methods overlap and are inseparable, the effect of any one of them being reinforced by the effect of any or all of the others.

The *Washington Post* article is useful for the light it throws on methods No. 3 and 4. Thus, it would be hard to make method No. 4 work if the public mind had not been sufficiently conditioned by method No. 3, so let us examine the technique of creating and maintaining "no-go" areas in the realm

IVOR BENSON

Liberalism's technique of psychological warfare

of public debate — in this case, areas which could be labelled **The Race Question and Zionism.**

When world-famous scientists like Professor Carleton Coon, Professor William Shockley, Dr. John Baker and Professor Sir Arthur Keith, and scores of other men of learning, are blacklisted by the media and by the liberal establishment's own corps of emasculated academics, for daring to step out of line and call for genuine inquiry and debate, what more needs be said on this subject?

And is it necessary to point out that all over the world today politicians and other public figures tremble, as if walking always on the edge of a precipice, at the thought that they might inadvertently say or write something that could give offence to the Jews?

Is it not true that a system of penalties has been devised which has made cringing, ingratiating cowards of a whole generation of Western leaders?

It has required the control of the media, direct or indirect, and the application of sophisticated techniques of psychological warfare to make this possible, with rewards in terms of personal power and profit for compliance and punishment for non-compliance, bribery for some, intimidation for others, or a combination of both.

The bribery needs no explaining; this we have had with us from the beginning of time; men, like asses following a carrot, tend to go where they find gain.

What does need some explaining is the applied science of psychological pressure and terror. Here it can be stated that the liberal establishment, or, rather, those who control and manipulate an amorphous liberal establishment from behind the scenes, have succeeded in setting up in the realm of the public mind what can be compared with electrified fences and electrical prodders. However, it is not wire fences and metal prodders that are used, but words and concepts which have been made to crackle with danger.

Highly scientific as the techniques of modern psychological warfare are, they still have much in common with the practices of witchdoctors and other mind-benders in primitive societies, whereby total submission is secured through blind, unreasoned fear. When a jinx is put on some place the people give it a wide berth for fear of being contaminated by the formless, invisible evil; when it is on some unhappy individual that the jinx is put, he is shunned and driven out of the tribe, and his fate is such that he were

better dead.

"That can happen among the savages," says the modern, civilised man; "it could not possibly happen with us!"

"Oh, can't it?" we reply; "It not only can happen, but does happen today on a scale never experienced before in the history of the human race."

The only difference is that whereas in primitive societies the bulk of the people are permanently preconditioned to react as required by the巫doctors, in the modern world a costly and ingeniously contrived exercise, promoted worldwide, is needed to plant, just below the surface of consciousness mindless phobias which can be triggered into responses of dread. As we shall show!

PUBLIC NEUROSIS

This is not the place for an inquiry into the operations of the Germans' alleged "genocide policy" during the last war. However numerous, or however few, were the Jews who lost their lives, and however they died, this we know for sure: Whereas the rest of mankind have continued down the years to mourn their dead, place flowers on their war graves and raise monuments to their memory, the Zionists have expanded, multiplied and sublimated and projected their wartime dead as a weapon of psycho-political warfare never experienced before in history — with consequences so devastating that it can be compared only with the invention and first warlike use of gunpowder and of cavalry against peoples who have never seen these things before.

This mighty operation has two aspects: the first to plant deeply in the minds of the people of the West an emotional alloy comprising sympathy for the Jews and feelings of guilt and responsibility for what was done, or supposed to have been done, to the Jews; the second to convert a fevered German national resurgence, a backlash from defeat and humiliation in World War I, into a psychological fright-mask big enough and hideous enough, when suddenly invoked and exhibited, to bring millions of educated men and women, their leaders included, down to the moral level of scared savages.

This huge operation, aimed at sensitising the millions to certain fixed stimuli of fear, has been carried out before our eyes ever since the end of World War II — but how many could understand what they could see?

With great skill, all this mind-conditioning was passed off as **entertainment** — an endless succession of films, theatre

plays, television programmes, newspaper and magazine articles, all designed to keep mankind's nerves raw and exposed on this subject of the supposed sufferings of the Jews, the world's shared responsibility, and the villainies of the Germans!

Never, never, never, be it noted, one programme, film, book or article from the same manipulators of the media about Communist atrocities, which have been innumerable!

This conditioning of the minds of millions has been made to serve two apparently contradictory purposes — to advance a chauvinist Zionist nationalism by shielding it from examination and criticism, and simultaneously to advance One-World ambitions which require the obliteration of every manifestation of genuine national sovereignty — except only one, of course, the Zionist!

It is deeply significant that both Soviet and Chinese Communism and Western one-world liberalism employ one and the same psychological warfare techniques.

Now let us take a look at the *Washington Post* article on the World Anti-Communist League and see how the "electrified fence and electrical prodder" technique (or the "jinx and fright-mask" technique, if you prefer to call it that) is put into effect.

When we read words like "forces of authoritarianism, neo-fascism, racial hierarchy and anti-Semitism", used in reference to any of the national movements represented at the WACL conference, or to any of the delegations, we are expected to react with abhorrence, identifying these automatically and mindlessly with all we have read and heard and seen on cinema and TV screens about the Germans under Hitler and the Italians under Mussolini. And unless we have been forewarned or have ourselves penetrated this satanic hoax, that is precisely how we must react!

"Authoritarianism" — why, that's brutal dictatorship of the bloodiest and cruellest kind! "Neo-fascism" — why, that's the same thing as "Neo-Nazism", inseparably joined with the practice of popping people into gas ovens! Not so? And "anti-Semitism"? — there the foot goes down on the organ pedal to produce the loudest, booming note of total evil!

These are the jinx words which seldom fail to produce the desired effect on the unthinking millions, even the educated ones who, in some respects, have proved to be the most unthinking of all.

What is possibly the main attack in the *Washington Post* article is aimed at "scientific racism", and in this case the jinx word is "racist", which in the defamatory jargon of modern leftist and Zionist psychological warfare means one who "viciously and arrogantly" regards the race to which he happens to belong as superior to all others and, therefore, the only one that deserves to survive.

Here we see a wonderful demonstration of semantic prestidigitation or juggling.

It is the natural way for each person to prefer his own race. There is not one Black tribe in Africa that does not regard itself as superior to its neighbours — in each case a biologically serviceable myth designed by nature to secure group unity and cohesion and to nourish a culture which will give the individuals concerned a spiritual home.

For the individual, of no matter what race, his own race, its culture, its language, its religion, are best precisely because they are the product of his own race, an externalisation of his own inner reality, therefore, for him, better than any other!

But see how this natural and wholesome inclination has been transmuted by the modern black magic into the quintessence of evil! To love your own, so it is represented by these dabblers in satanism, these artificers of fraud, is to hate all others and seek their destruction!

The purposes of the liberal establishment witchdoctors may turn out to have been ill-served by Valentine's reference to "Guadalajara University professor Raymundo Guerrero" whose delegation is accused of having circulated at the WACL conference copies of *Replica*, a magazine with a centre-fold article "attacking the recent NBC television *Holocaust* series as another gigantic campaign of Jewish propaganda . . ."

After all, the influence of a programme like the *Holocaust* series depends on blind acceptance by the masses, therefore any reference to it implying that it is just so much propaganda, could be counter-productive.

However, since the *Washington Post* has itself raised the subject of the *Holocaust* television series, let us see where and how it fits into that total picture of modern psychological warfare as outlined above.

Ever since the end of World War II, as we have already pointed out, it has been necessary to belabour the public mind with frightening images of "Nazi" and "Fascist" horrors in order to keep those "electrified fences" and "prodders" heavily charged with negative emotional potential; once people begin to forget about "Nazism" and "Fascism", it follows that these trigger words can no longer be used to evoke the required responses.

However, a new factor has emerged: revisionist history! As Dr. Antony Sutton, formerly of the Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace at Stanford University, has said in another context, ". . . a new version of history has emerged; the prevailing Establishment version is seen to be, not only inaccurate but designed to hide a pervasive fabric of deceit and immoral conduct."

"Slowly over the decades," writes Dr. Sutton, "book by book, almost line by line, the truth of recent history has emerged as documents are released, probed, analysed and set within a more valid historical framework."

Hence the panic of those who have been using a poisoned and corrupted history as a major weapon in psycho-political warfare! Something really drastic, and conducted on a massive scale, was needed to prevent those "electrified fences" from being earthed or switched off entirely! And the answer has come in the form of the NBC's *Holocaust* television series.

At last all pretence at legitimate entertainment has been cast aside as this massive and most costly psychological warfare exercise has been set in motion over the entire globe by Zionism's network of innumerable clandestine organisations.

There can be no doubt that one of the most potent works of historical revision which has threatened to debunk these sophisticated White巫doctors and blow the fuses on their magic fence, was a book by Professor Arthur Butz, of Northwestern University, Chicago, entitled *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*. Let that be our brief answer to the "shocked" accusations against Professor Raymundo Guerrero and his organisation, FEMACO!

'CONFUSION IS A WEAPON'

It needs no second look at the *Washington Post* article to discover all the components of a trick of psycho-political warfare frequently recommended by Mao Tse-Tung in one short phrase: Divide the opponent! It is a trick which has been used with considerable success against all movements which offer resistance to the march of Fabian Socialism and Marxist Communism, cunningly exploiting any incipient division or opposition of ideas to be found within such movements — and even calls for the planting of such divisions where none can be found.

Like all large organisations, and especially international organisations, the World Anti-Communist League is not without its differences of interpretation or emphasis. People in different parts of the world experience Communist revolutionary activity differently and people themselves are different in their responses to what they see and experience.

In spite of such differences, some of which lead to lively debate both in the committees and at plenary sessions, WACL's chapter members have remained firmly united in their resolution to oppose the Communist menace. Therefore, the liberal establishment media have had to wait long and to search hard to find some line of fracture into which they can hope to be able to drive their wedges.

From the point of view of the liberal establishment trouble-makers, those attending WACL conferences can be conveniently divided into two main groups: the soft-liners and the hard-liners, those who see Communist imperialism as something to be resisted by means of the kind permitted as

Contd. on page 13

Police anti-NF tactics a Community Relations ploy

IN THE May issue of *Spearhead* I recounted a number of incidents of shocking conduct on the part of a number of Chief Constables in various parts of the country which indicate that Police forces nationwide are being co-ordinated in a campaign against the National Front.

In brief these incidents – all of which took place during the first six months of this year – were as follows:—

★ Intimidating a university debates chairman into cancelling a debate in which an NF speaker was to have proposed an anti-immigration motion (*Durham*).

★ Pressuring a city council to break a hall hire contract with an NF branch which wanted to hold a **private** meeting on council premises (*Luton*).

★ Releasing to the Press a letter from the NF, marked "confidential", which contained advance details of a proposed NF procession, for the purpose of creating circumstances which would permit the Police to ban the activity (*Leeds*).

★ Deliberately failing to restrain a crowd of 1,000 "Anti-Nazi League" demonstrators from attacking 80 NF members and supporters as they entered and then departed from an NF election meeting (*Leeds*).

★ Harrassing and unlawfully limiting the numbers and movements of NF campaign workers involved in the Lambeth Central by-election while imposing no such restrictions on the NF's violent opponents.

Two further outrages must be added to this list:—

Monday June 19th, Nottingham:

The evening of this day saw the opening of the National Front's new Nottingham branch HQ. NF Chairman John Tyndall had been invited to perform the opening ceremony at a private party inside the building.

A mob of several hundred "Anti-Nazi League" hooligans were allowed by the Police to mass outside the building even after it had become clear that their purpose was to impede access and to give violence to NF members who sought to enter.

14 NF members from Leicester, among them some women, approached the premises led by Mr. Philip Gegan, a Solicitor. Finding their path to the front door blocked by the mob Mr. Gegan asked a senior Police officer if a path to the premises could be cleared.

The officer refused to order this and instructed Mr. Gegan and his party to seek

entry to the building "by the back door" (which does not exist) via an alley which he indicated.

Mr. Gegan and his friends followed this Police advice, only to find once they had entered the alley that they were confronted by a gang of about 50 ANAL thugs. As the NF members turned to leave the alley they found they had been followed in by another ANAL group.

The Police must have known that there was no way to get in by the back and that the alley was occupied by an ANAL gang. The Police must have seen an additional ANAL group follow the NF members into the alley and must have heard the cries and shouts as the vicious fight progressed.

Though the NF men gave as good an account of themselves as was possible, all of them – and their womenfolk – emerged from the alley injured – some quite seriously.

As the bloodied Mr. Gegan came out of the alley he met a group of Policemen, under the charge of a Sergeant. He said to the Sergeant:

"I suppose letting that happen was your idea of a 'low profile' operation?"

To which the Sergeant replied:

"Yes. We have been given strict instructions from our Chief Constable not to get involved with the Left Wing."

This statement and the events during that evening indicate that the Chief Constable's officers interpreted his orders to mean that they should give *carte blanche* to the Red mob to unleash a reign of terror against small groups of National Front members on the streets of Nottingham.

Such conduct on the part of the Police is a gross – perhaps even an unlawful – dereliction of their duty to uphold the Queen's Peace "without fear or favour". The dereliction was all the more dreadful because it was clearly planned and directed at the highest level.

Saturday July 8th, Manchester:

A similar "blind eye" to Red/Immigrant mob violence was turned by the Greater Manchester Police during the afternoon open-air election meeting held on behalf of the NF candidate in the Moss Side by-election, Mr. Herbert Andrew, a local secondary schoolteacher.

The NF was forced to hold its meeting in the open air because the Greater Manchester Council flouted Election Law

and refused to grant to the NF candidate indoor public meeting facilities that it was pleased to grant to every other candidate in the election.

About 800 Police were on hand near to the site of the NF meeting. Suddenly a crowd of 50 or more Black thugs appeared on the perimeter of the meeting, drew iron bars and attacked. The Police watched impassively as the Black gang arrived, drew out their lethal iron bars, and charged the NF crowd. The Police only sprang into action when the unarmed NF stewards successfully fought the Blacks off. They arrested four NF stewards!

When the meeting dispersed four of the Blacks who had been in the iron bar gang climbed in a car and deliberately ran down an NF member from Rochdale, who sustained a broken leg and broken ribs. The Police made no apparent effort to pursue the car.

The same car load of Blacks was left free to pursue the mini-bus carrying NF Chairman John Tyndall and nine other NF members. The Blacks tried to make the mini-bus crash off the motorway, first by swerving in front of it, then by hurling half bricks at its windscreen.

During the day prior to this orgy of murderous violence the Police made no effort to prevent the distribution of a poster in Moss Side issued by the Black Peoples' Political Alliance bearing the slogan: **"DRIVE OUT THE NF BY ANY MEANS NECESSARY!"**

My main reason for recounting the facts of these incidents is to place them on record, because I am sure that a published record is going to be very important quite soon.

As for the reason why the Police are behaving in this way, a clue was given in an article in a recent issue of *Police* magazine by the Chief Constable of Devon and Cornwall, Mr. John Anderson:

"Police have been placed in an impossible position by having to escort Right Wing processions through hostile and violent crowds of Coloured minorities. The Police are thus made to appear identified with racist groups and accordingly lose the confidence of some of the Coloured people who, at the best of times, have somewhat uneasy relationships with them."

"It is one of the great challenges for the Police at the present time, to develop their own counters to this dilemma and to build up, among minority groups, confidence in their impartiality."

What all this boils down to is this:—

The Police will ostentatiously allow you (a "white racist") to get bludgeoned by iron-bar wielding Blacks – and arrest you if you try to defend yourself. Then, when the Police have to arrest the same gang of Black savages for mugging an old lady they hope the Blacks will not accuse them of "racial bias" or of being "pro-National Front"!

My oh my, Mr. Plod! How clever you are!

• WAGES OF SIN

No more poetic justice could be imagined than to hear the extreme left-wing Bishop of Southwark, Mervyn Stockwood, whining last month about how many poor priests are being beaten up and mugged in South London.

Priests, he said, were declaring some places no-go areas because of the violence. Four clergymen in his own diocese had been violently assaulted and robbed in recent months. There were some parishes, he said, where it had become impossible to hold evening meetings in winter because of the fear of street attacks. Quoth Stockwood: "It is all very well for kindly-disposed people living in suburbia or in country homes to express sympathy for the perpetrators of these violent deeds. But what about the people on whom these violent deeds are perpetrated?"

What about them indeed? But wasn't it the left-wing Bishop of Southwark who until quite recently was telling us that the poor Blacks in south London only resorted to attacking old ladies for their pension money because of bad housing, discrimination and such like nonsense? Wasn't it Mervyn Stockwood who until quite recently was doing exactly what he accuses the inhabitants of suburbia of doing, namely blaming such crimes on society in general and the National Front in particular? Wasn't it this communist in clerical garb who was uttering, in fact, the very platitudes of liberalism that are largely responsible for the collapse of ordered society?

What, we wonder, has brought about this sudden conversion? Could it be that, now that the chickens are coming home to roost and reverend gentlemen such as himself are getting regularly banged on the head, common sense has at last dawned?

• UNIQUE SELLING POINT

Any Tories who are so naive as to imagine that a firm line on immigration will be incorporated into the expensive advertising campaign which the party has entrusted to the swanky agency Saatchi & Saatchi will be disappointed.

Campaign, the organ of the advertising industry, made it clear in its April 7th issue that, though the Tory "strong line on immigration" (I kid you not) is the party's USP (unique selling point) in the election, "Saatchi certainly won't guarantee that its staff would accept a brief that required them to endorse a view that in their own liberal terms required an appeal to the baser instincts of the electorate" (ugh!). The report went on to say that the campaign would include a "balanced, objective and as neutral as can be" statement on the party's immigration policy. Being "as neutral as can be" on Tory race policy should not present them with any difficulties!

IN BRIEF

• KEEP TAKING THE FROGS LEGS

Many African countries are planning to grant professional medical status to witchdoctors (I am not making this up) after a decision by the United Nations World Health Organisation to recognise the merits of "traditional medicine".

This decision was made after years of heated debate and despite the protests of Western doctors. It means that witchdoctors in African and Asian countries will get large handouts from internationally recognised and financed national public health schemes. One can just imagine all the boiled toad, excreta of dung beetle and essence of snake liver that such funds will enable the witchdoctors to scatter around the mud huts of their simple fellow natives, much to their own financial advantage. The witchdoctors are to be referred to as "health auxiliaries" and in most cases, according to World Health Organisation director-general Dr. Halfdan Mahler, they are "thoroughly trained".

If you don't believe this story, read it through again.

• ANTI-ZIONIST? YOU'RE FINED £100,000!

If you think that the political parties in Britain today are not bound body and soul to Zionist interests, then ponder on this. Lord Byers, former Chairman of the Liberal Party, has introduced into the House of Lords a "Foreign Boycott Bill" which would make it a criminal offence for a British firm to comply with the terms of the Arab boycott of Israel.

At the moment, British companies wanting to work in an Arab country must agree to the terms of the boycott as part of their contract. Firms have to sign a declaration that their company is not owned by Jews, that there are no Zionists on the board of directors, and that it does not trade with Israel. Under the terms of the Foreign Boycott Bill, any firm agreeing to sign this declaration, or refusing to accept Israeli work because it might jeopardise Arab contracts, would be liable to a fine of up to £100,000! If the firm continues to obey the Arab terms or commits a second 'offence', the directors could be jailed for up to two years! Individuals, as well as companies, are also liable. Any individual complying with the Arab boycott could be fined £5,000. This means that if you fancy a year of tax-free income in Kuwait and agree to the Arab

boycott in order to work there, the Zionist lobby in Britain will stop you by fining you thousands of pounds!

Unbelievable? Not really, especially when you consider that Lord Byers, the sponsor of the Bill, is on the Council of the Anglo-Israel Society.

• TALK ABOUT LAUGH!

Speaking of Arab boycotts, one recent attempt at grovelling before the Zionists has cost the grovellers a great deal of money! *Spearhead* readers will recall that Prof. Arthur Butz, author of *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century* which rejects the 'Holocaust' as a myth, is an associate professor at Northwestern University, Illinois. They will also recall that by way of an apology for Dr. Butz's existence there, the University authorities awarded Zionist terrorist and Israeli Prime Minister Menachem Begin an honorary degree. Well, the prompt reaction of Saudi Arabia to this act of crawling was to cancel a training contract with the University worth 1½ million dollars!

Isn't it an amusing irony that, of all the people who could be sitting on the world's oil and thus wielding the sort of financial clout that is the prerogative of Zionists, it should be their inveterate enemies, the Arabs?

• DEGENERATE OF THE MONTH



This month's winner has been sent in by a Walsall reader. The creature pictured above is paid by local ratepayers to be the "Community Relations Officer" for Walsall. It also helps to poison community relations further by being an activist with the "Anti-Nazi League". Its name is John Mastrantone, and it is holding an ANAL poster which it illegally exhibited in the windows of the Community Relations Council offices during the May elections until ordered to take it down by the Town Clerk. Believe it or not, this degenerate's latest scheme is to "merge" the Community Relations Council with the Anti-Nazi League. (Or put his CRC into his ANAL, as some local wits have expressed it.)

THE ZIONIST LIE MACHINE

DOES ITS WORST

READERS WILL RECALL that in my article in our June issue I dealt with the pressures that are now being applied, and are going to be increasingly applied, against the National Front now that our enemies are awakening to our potential as a political force. I described how this would include character assassination employing all the most up-to-date techniques.

A perfect example of this was provided by the *World in Action* programme broadcast on ITV on July 3rd. I owe it to our readers to give an account of what happened leading up to, and during, that programme.

World in Action is produced by Granada Television, of which the Chairman is the Zionist millionaire Lord Bernstein. Of all the TV companies and networks, Granada is the one most viciously biased in the news and views it emits about the National Front.

It was therefore with a sober sense of what was in the wind that we received, a short time before, the news that *World in Action* proposed to do a feature on us.

Its producer rang our office one day and announced himself as a Mr. Brian Lapping. The name ending suggested a possible Anglo-Saxon origin, although not one I had heard of before. Mr. Lapping asked would I be prepared to give an interview to be broadcast as part of the programme? I replied that this would depend entirely on my receiving an assurance that there would be no editing out of my answers to the questions asked — questions which we knew very well would consist of some of the most vicious smears against the NF.

I have become very familiar with TV interviewing techniques over the years. What they do is question one for about half an hour and then cut down the actual broadcast time of the interview to no more than 5 minutes or so. This is explained as being necessary for 'technical' and 'editorial' reasons. In fact it just enables them to cut

HELP

I wish to purchase the following back numbers of *Spearhead*. 66, 63, 59, 58, 57, 54, 53, 51, 47, 41, 40, 39, 33, 29, 28, 27, 25, 24, 23, 22, 21, 20, 19, 18, 17, 14, 12, 11, 9, 6, 5, 4. If you have any, please write to: J. King, 71 Whitehall Gardens, Chingford, London E4 6EJ.

Read ANGLIAN NEWS

Produced in support of Norwich National Front. Send 17p for sample copy and details of bulk and subscription rates.

All cheques and P.O.s to "N. S. Wakeling" and send to: 253 Robin Hood Road, Tuckswood, Norwich.

John Tyndall talks about 'that TV programme'

all the best bits out while giving the impression to the viewing public that they have been scrupulously fair in letting one speak!

On Friday, June 30th, I went in the company of Martin Webster and Richard Verrall, to the studios of Granada Television in Soho, my colleagues coming with me in order to ensure that the whole proceedings of any interview and the negotiations leading up to it were fully monitored and recorded.

On arriving we were shown into a studio room in which the programme personnel present might have deceived us into thinking they were part of the congregation of the local synagogue. One glance at Mr. Lapping was enough to convince us that his ancestors originated in lands far from those where Saxon yeomen and bowmen were bred.

IN WHOSE INTEREST?

I am not an anti-semitic in the sense of wanting to deny Jews the right to enter into any trade or profession in this country, including television, in some reasonable proportion to their numbers. I simply comment that when one sees members of that race predominate on the staff of one of our most influential current affairs programmes to the extent that they obviously did on this one the time has come to ask: are the British people in control of their own broadcasting services or are they not? Who is shaping our news and views and in whose interest?

The first thing we asked Mr. Lapping was how long he intended the broadcast version of my answers to his questions would be? He replied: approximately 4 minutes. Very well, we told him, the maximum time we would allow him to record these answers would be 5 minutes.

Mr. Lapping said he could not agree to this, thereupon which we got up to leave. He then asked us to wait while he went into 'consultation' by telephone in an adjoining room. As we did so an interesting debate ensued between my colleagues and me as to whether this 'consultation' was with Lord Bernstein, the Jewish Board of Deputies, B'nai B'rith, the Israeli Government or the

Learned Elders of Zion. Half an hour later, with this question unsolved, Mr. Lapping returned and made us a new offer. Instead of a filmed interview he would send me a written summary of what the programme intended to say against us and would accept my written replies. As previously offered, about 4 minutes would be allowed in the broadcast for these replies. To these terms we agreed. The written summary was duly received and I encapsulated my replies into 3½ minutes, thus allowing no scope for editing out — or so I thought!

In the event no more than 1 minute was given to these replies in the broadcast version.

Never in all my life have I heard such poisonous garbage as the material the programme dished out to the viewers on July 3rd and never have I heard such a collection of downright deliberate lies.

JUST A T.V. WORKER?

Centrepiece in the programme was given to a man who claimed to be an infiltrator in the NF working for Special Branch. We were not told his name. We did not see his face. We just heard his voice. I have very grave doubts that he even exists except just as a worker for Granada Television.

The voice harangued the viewers with the most horrific tales of what went on in the NF. He knew, he said, of members who regularly attacked immigrants' homes and assaulted them in the street. He spoke of members who told him they wouldn't repatriate immigrants because "it would be cheaper to kill them." He said he knew of one party official who had a map covered with crosses marking sites of proposed concentration camps. He said that Hitler speeches and German marching music were regularly played at NF meetings and that walls of members' homes were covered with Hitler pictures and swastika posters.

This is of course all complete lying rubbish, as I well know having attended hundreds of party meetings all over the country and visited many, many members' homes. The very suggestion that members attending these meetings are well enough schooled in the German language to follow a Hitler speech is, to say the least, stretching credulity a little far!

As for violence against immigrants, any member found to be responsible for such conduct would immediately be placed on a disciplinary charge and punished, usually with expulsion — unless it could be

established that he had acted in self-defence, as many of our members are frequently compelled to do.

The programme went on to cite no less than 12 incidents of violence and lawbreaking in which it was implied that NF members were responsible. In some cases these people were referred to as "supporters", which presumably was intended to spare the programme producer the inconvenient problem of proving them to be members should we challenge him to do so. Of course no political party can be held responsible for what is done by all people claiming to be its 'supporters', any more than Manchester United Football Club can be blamed for the hooligan conduct of a few of its self-styled 'fans'. No doubt the picture of the NF that is painted by such programmes as *World in Action* could result in the party actually attracting the support of a certain psychopath element - that would not be our fault. If we ever discovered such types in the ranks of our membership we would boot them out so quickly their feet wouldn't touch the ground.

As it turned out, in only two of the twelve incidents referred to in the programme was it established that NF members were involved. In both cases disciplinary action had been taken against those concerned, resulting in the expulsion of one member and the suspension of the other from holding office for a specified period. The leniency of this latter punishment was based on a consideration of the man's otherwise excellent record and the considerable provocation to which he and his family had been subjected in the weeks prior to the incident in which he was involved.

NO MENTION

Before the broadcast Mr. Lapping was fully informed of these disciplinary procedures but no mention was made of them in the programme.

As for the other ten incidents, our investigations have established either that they probably never took place at all or that, if they did, no NF members were involved. Some of these alleged incidents really were a bit far fetched.

For instance, a Jewish woman in her seventies was produced who testified to being hit in the face by an NF paper seller in the centre of Leeds. Some of our Leeds members are tough young men who can be relied on to give a very good account of themselves if attacked by the thugs of the left but they just are not the types to descend to such a despicable act as assaulting a defenceless old woman, Jewish or otherwise. The question must arise: if the lady was hit in the face in Leeds city centre, why did she not call a policeman? There must have been plenty of witnesses to such an assault. No policeman was called and no one was charged.

I suspect that this lady's powers of

imagination are equally vivid as those of her kinfolk who produce *World in Action*! The worst that probably happened is that she approached the paper seller and became extremely abusive towards him - as a result of which he was none too complimentary in reply. Had this been the case, and had I been there, I would have ticked the chap off for being so silly as to allow himself to be thus provoked when a contemptuous silence would have been the recommended course. As it is, we will never know if any such exchange of words even occurred at all, if the paper seller ever existed. The makers of TV programmes, unlike prosecutors in court, don't have to prove anything.

In another case a man was interviewed who ran a Harrogate health food shop. He claimed to have been beaten up by NF 'supporters' after he had objected to the punching of an Arab in the face. Two men were in fact charged for this incident and neither of them was found to be a member of the National Front. Perhaps one of them stupidly shouted "up the NF" as he laid into the shopkeeper. Perhaps the latter invented

even this. We will never know. What we do know is that the man running the shop, one Geoffrey Sedgwick, regularly displays an Anti-Nazi League poster in his window.

The rest of the related incidents consisted of the same sort of unsubstantiated stories so easy to fling about if you control a weekly television slot, so difficult to defend yourself against if you do not. Together they no doubt painted a gruesome picture of our party - to those who have lost the faculty to tell fact from fiction.

In another angle that the programme adopted we were taken back over familiar ground. Letters were produced that were claimed to have been written in the late 1960s to members of the Nazi movement in America blueprinting the future development of Nazism in Britain. I do not keep files of all correspondence going back that far nor is my memory so good that I can recall it. I therefore do not know whether the letters were forged or just stolen (that they had to be one or the other is some indication of the way the *World in Action*

Contd. on page 15

TRIUMPH RECORDINGS

Privately recorded cassette tapes of historic National Front marches and rallies. A percentage of the profits on the sale of these recordings goes to the NF. Don't miss the chance of recapturing the excitement of these enthusiastic, packed meetings and magnificent speeches!

Cassette Tapes. Prices: C60, £3.00; C90, £3.50. Postage 10p for one tape, 20p for two or more.

C60 £3.00

STAND BY THE NATIONAL FRONT!

Historic meeting in Conway Hall, January 1976 at which the unity of the NF was consolidated behind JT after the disruptions in 1975. Speakers include Martin Webster, Andrew Fountaine and John Tyndall.

C90 £3.50

REMEMBRANCE DAY SPEECHES AND OTHER PATRIOTIC MEETINGS—1975

C90 £3.50

Speakers include Wing Commander Lewis Whittam, Sqd.Ldr. John Harrison-Broadley, Andrew Fountaine and John Tyndall.

C90 £3.50

REMEMBRANCE DAY MARCH AND RALLY 1975

Cenotaph ceremony of the Anglo Rhodesian Society, National Front march, Rally and patriotic speeches by Wing Cmdr. Lewis Whittam, Sqd. Ldr. John Harrison-Broadley, Andrew Fountaine and John Tyndall.

C90 £3.50

NATIONAL FRONT MARCH AND RALLY, WOLVERHAMPTON, JUNE 1975

C90 £3.50

Anti-Common Market march and rally, with Martin Webster. Historic recording.

STAND BY RHODESIA! NF MEETING IN CONWAY HALL, APRIL 1976

C60 £3.00

This meeting was held in support of White Rhodesia in the face of international betrayal. Speakers include Martin Webster, Andrew Fountaine and John Tyndall.

C60 £3.00

COVENTRY NF BY-ELECTION MEETING 1976

Speakers include Terry Denville-Falkner, Martin Webster, Andrew Fountaine and John Tyndall.

WALSALL NORTH NF BY-ELECTION MEETING SEPTEMBER 1976

C60 £3.00

Speakers include Charles Parker, Martin Webster, Andrew Fountaine and John Tyndall.

STECHFORD NF BY-ELECTION MEETING FEBRUARY 1977

C90 £3.50

This was the important by-election where the NF beat the Liberals (now a common thing). A lively election meeting. Highly recommended. Speakers include Andrew Brons, Sqd. Ldr. J. Harrison-Broadley, Andrew Fountaine and John Tyndall.

CAXTON HALL MEETING FEBRUARY 1977 WESTMINSTER BY-ELECTION

C60 £3.00

Speakers include Paul Kavanagh and John Tyndall.

ST. GEORGE'S DAY MARCH AND RALLY, WOOD GREEN, APRIL 1977

C90 £3.50

Actual sounds of the street mobs in riot against the police and the NF marchers. Drum corps sounds. Rally with speeches by Martin Webster, Andrew Fountaine, J. Harrison-Broadley and John Tyndall. Historic recording.

LEWISHAM MARCH, AUGUST 1977 . . . THE BALLOON GOES UP!

C60 £3.00

The worst riot yet against the NF. Sounds of communist street mobs rioting against the police and the NF. Short rally with speeches by Andrew Fountaine and John Tyndall.

NATIONAL FRONT ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING 1976

C90 £3.50

Main speakers at the meeting including Andrew Fountaine and John Tyndall.

NATIONAL FRONT ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING 1977 . . .

C90 £3.50

Triumphant speech of John Tyndall.

JOHN TYNDALL SPEAKS!

C60 £3.00

Excerpts from historic speeches in Watford, Bradford, 1977 Remembrance Day etc.

JOHN TYNDALL SPEAKS IN SLOUGH FEBRUARY 1977

C60 £3.00

All cheques or postal orders payable to TRIUMPH RECORDINGS Pty. Delivery 7 to 10 days. Send your orders to Box 1020, Spearhead, 91 Connaught Road, Teddington, Middlesex.

AFTER MORE than 30 years of Labour and Tory government, British industry is growing weaker at an alarming rate. As many of our industries are run down and closed down, manufactured imports continue to increase their flood into Britain, resulting in continuous "balance of payments" crises and more than 1½ million unemployed. The economic situation in Britain would be bordering on complete collapse were it not for North Sea oil cutting our import bill by thousands of millions of pounds.

Recent balance of payments deficits of Britain and the United States, countries with declining industrial power, combined with the large surpluses accumulated by such countries as West Germany and Japan, are being used in the latest efforts of the International Monetary Fund, one of the main fronts of International Finance, in its attempt to obtain greater power over the economies of Western nations and to further its ambitions of forming a "world economy".

There have been two main moves. One of the latest plans was unveiled at a meeting of the "Interim Committee" of the IMF under the Chairmanship of Dennis Healey, the communist-turned-capitalist Chancellor of the Exchequer. Briefly, this involves either the exchange by Western countries of their dollar reserves for "Special Drawing Rights", the money created by the IMF and lent to countries with balance of payments difficulties to let them pay for the excess of imports over exports, or, alternatively, to exercise the recently-acquired power of the IMF to apply sanctions (!) to member countries who allow their currencies to rise or fall to an extent unsatisfactory to the world's bankers.

Special Drawing Rights (sometimes called "paper gold") first appeared around 1970 and are popularly represented as being merely another form of international currency, whose value is fixed by reference to a "basket" of 16 currencies. However, although only legal tender between central banks, these Special Drawing Rights (SDR's) are lent by the IMF to central banks, making the IMF a kind of "banker's bank" in a position to exercise control over central banks and governments alike. Hence Dennis Healey's well-known "Letters of Intent" to the IMF after each new loan, usually containing a promise to do nothing to annoy the world's bankers, such as introducing import controls.

INCREASED PRESSURE

Throughout the 70's, SDR's have only been used tentatively, but as the dollar depreciates in value and the United States economy may be heading for a heavy slump, so the strain of the American banking system to prevent the dollar plunging even further in value becomes too much. Apart from being the main currency of international trade, oil prices are fixed in dollars on the world market. Rather than risk having Arab Oil Ministers lead the way in

PHILIP GEGAN

ECONOMIC DISASTER OR ECONOMIC NATIONALISM?

finding an alternative to trading with dollars, International Finance is now bringing increased pressure to switch international dealings from dollars to SDR's.

Evidence for this comes in the second move, which was the recent meeting of the heads of state of the Common Market countries at Bremen. There they decided to form a "European Monetary Fund" of some \$50,000m to which Britain will have to contribute 20% of her reserves. This fund will be larger than the IMF's funds and is to facilitate European monetary integration by linking in value "permanently" all the currencies of the Common Market countries. All "member-colonies" of the EEC (soon to include Spain, Portugal and Greece) would be obliged to maintain their currency values within a range of 2½% each side of a central rate. Once this is achieved, the step to full monetary and economic union, at one time planned for 1980 but postponed because of lack of basic agreement, is a short one.

The overwhelming discord of EEC countries on basic matters, caused in turn by their unsuitability to be linked economically and politically in the first place, betrays the fact that the idea could not have come from any one member state. It was probably handed down via the Common Market Commission from the real world planners — the Bilderbergers or the Trilateral Commission — anxious for another major step towards world monetary union and world government. A symbol of national identity will disappear for the historic European nations.


In addition, the system will help to

disguise the economic fluctuations within the EEC, such as the strong German economy with little inflation, low unemployment and a trade surplus contrasting so sharply with our own economy or that of Italy, which daily display what a nonsense this "common market" is. Full "integration" means that such incongruities can be made to disappear. This will have a bearing on the integrated currency. Instead of a number of different currencies rising and falling against each other and being too unstable generally to base the value of an international currency on, there will be but one European currency to match against the future SDR's of world trade.

Behind all the talk and prattle about achieving new economic growth in the industrialised countries lies the fact that this action will make those countries dependent on the International Monetary Fund for the value of their reserves.

WHAT BENEFIT TO US?

It has yet to be explained how such manoeuvrings can benefit the ordinary working people of Britain. It would be better if our politicians took action to aid Britain's ailing industry and economy. Shortly before the meeting of the "Interim Committee" of the IMF, the Cambridge University Department of Applied Economics, in its latest Economic Policy Review, forecast 5m unemployed by 1990. The Review argued that the only way to



British steel industry: struggling with massive debt and now import invasion



British electronics: a dying industry

avoid this was to reverse present trends and expand manufacturing investment in Britain by at least 50%, using this capacity to displace imports. This would, of course, necessitate the introduction of import controls.

One wonders if this forecast is not optimistic when recent trade figures are considered. Japanese inroads into our home market continue, especially in the motor industry, where their sales performance is becoming more and more well known. The latest specific target is the commercial vehicles sector, where imports from the two major Japanese suppliers have shot up by 150% this year, taking imports from all sources to 22% of the commercial vehicles market so far this year compared with less than 15½% in the corresponding period of last year.

In the motor sector generally, Japanese car imports increased by 45% on 1977 figures for the first six months of this year. Sales of British Leyland, or BL, cars have recently dropped to around 17% of total sales, and unless they recover to at least 25% they may easily have to cease trading, leaving the bulk of our market wide open to overseas manufacturers and causing thousands of redundancies.

Our steel industry is doing little better, having just produced a loss for the British Steel Corporation of £443m (over £50m of which went in redundancy payments and £197m in interest charges). BSC has only 55% of the home market now, compared with 70% immediately after nationalisation. Two years ago steel importers took 12% of our market. Last year they took 20%. These are just a couple of examples.

Apart from import controls, urgent action is needed to increase investment in

British industry on a massive scale. Recently Mullard, the last big British producer of colour television tubes, produced a 36-page report addressed to the Government. According to this report, governments of other countries are injecting huge sums into their electronics industries. The Japanese government is providing £484m to assist its electronic companies this year and the United States is backing its own electronics industry with space and defence contracts worth £8000m in 1978. The same is true of many European governments.

By contrast, The National Enterprise Board plans to put around £30m into a subsidiary to develop micro-electronic circuits over the next few years. In 1961, Britain was practically self-sufficient in the components sector of electronics, but now imports have taken over almost 60% of our market.

The results of Labour/Tory industrial policy speak for themselves. They are the parties who have relentlessly pursued free trade policies through the years and have brought Britain to the brink of disaster. They have refused to impose import controls or to give adequate assistance to British industries under attack from government-subsidised foreign competitors. Japan has done both and as a result, for example, sells 120,000 cars a year to Britain. BL, our biggest home-owned manufacturer, sold 500 cars to Japan last year. Now our politicians are compounding their incompetence by binding us to these conditions permanently at prestigious "summit meetings" that they probably do not really understand.

LIBERALISM'S TECHNIQUE OF PSYCHOLOGICAL WARFARE

Contd. from page 7

"orthodox" and "respectable" by the likes of the *Washington Post* — and those others who know Communism as something to be smoked into the open and fought tooth and nail, even at the cost of awakening the wrath of the liberal establishment and its media.

Others again (including, no doubt, some US congressmen and other public figures who appear as guest speakers at WACL conferences) fully understand the Communist danger and feel deeply about it, but know that they are vulnerable to leftist character-assassination and are, therefore, disinclined to be publicly associated with the hard-liners.

How cleverly the *Washington Post* exploits these incipient differences inside WACL. "Respectability" and "orthodoxy" are conferred on one portion of an organisation which was hitherto condemned *in toto* to public oblivion, while all the lightnings of modern psychological warfare are visited upon another group which is seen as spearheading really effective opposition to the Communist conspiracy.

By such means it is hoped to intensify latent antagonisms within WACL, bringing one part of the anti-Communist movement into mutually destructive conflict with

So, instead of waffling on about new economic strategies and pontificating at so-called international conferences, the Government should take firm action to curb foreign imports and commence a massive programme of investment in British industry. Its failure to do this is due partly to the ignorance of the ministers in charge and partly to the fact that this would cause a lot of trouble with the IMF, from which our politicians have borrowed such immense sums of money to fritter away on "job-creation schemes", overseas aid and the like.

The Tories are even worse in that their economic policy, insofar as they have one at all, is only to maintain the existing system and shore it up wherever possible, supporting a policy of *laissez-faire*/free trade and refusing to give financial aid to British industry, even though those industries are struggling for survival. They believe in the "stiff wind of competition", claiming, against all the evidence, that such competition will somehow lead to a revival of British industry.

Only National Front economic policy is viable in modern times. We will curb imports of manufactured goods and massively invest in British industry, using the power of the government to create its own money. This is the only way in which we can climb out of the present trough of despair and chaos that engulfs British industry and causes such massive unemployment. It is up to all of us, wherever possible, to put this policy across in the run up to the General Election.

another. That is what Mao Tse-Tung and Lenin always recommended, and this is what the *Washington Post* faithfully practises!

"Respectability" and "orthodoxy" are even conferred momentarily on the formerly much-detested John Birch Society, the better to be able to represent as "extremist", "ultra right", "neo-Nazi", "neo-Fascist" and "anti-Semitic" the particular objects of the liberal establishment's fear and loathing — especially its fear!

See how, when interviewed by Valentine, Scott Stanley, editor of the John Birch Society magazine *American Opinion*, preens himself and basks in the approval of a leftist press which once hated him as much as he hated it! And see with what trembling solicitude the senators' aides seek to exculpate their masters and ward off the evil eye: "We'll certainly ask some questions about this! We could never support anything like this!"

Who can blame them?

This is no struggle for the faint of heart or for those with too many hostages to fortune!

The peoples of the West are living under a reign of terror, and they are at last beginning to find out.

Sociology for the White Nationalist

Part 3: THE THREAT OF COMMUNISM

(In exploring the planned breakdown of accepted standards in society we have seen the subversive appeals to traditional values for the support of opposed standards, and one method by which this is achieved is the distortion and devaluation of language. As the social order disintegrates, traditional institutions increasingly lose their authority and have to resort to coercive measures to retain their social control.)

WHEN AUTHORITY breaks down as it has in Britain, then the institutions which once embodied it must be replaced if total collapse is to be avoided. Yet if there is an excess of candidates to fill the vacuum, the competition between them is likely to prove a destructively divisive force in itself.

We have no shortage of such contestants for authority. The demise of institutional Christianity has been accompanied by the introduction of several rivals for religious allegiance, including Buddhism, revived Odinism, black magic, and ranging to an orchestrated cult of celestial astronauts. The political void opened by the beginnings of collapse in the two-party system has cleared the way for the Scottish and Welsh devolutionists, racial nationalism, and the many splintered forces of communism.

Just how serious is this communist threat, both for Britain as a nation and the white race as a whole?

First we must remember that Britain is not alone in experiencing social disintegration. The same process is occurring in the US and Canada, Australasia, Europe, and to a much lesser extent South Africa (where other pressures apply). It is also on historical record that these nations supply the rest of the world with food, medicine, technology and communications — either directly, or through the Soviet Union. The consequences for the welfare-receiving world in the event of even further Western collapse would be quite predictable.

There are Gilbert and Sullivan generals of African Marxist dictatorships who owe their lives — and consequently the stability of their shaky thrones — to South African medical treatment. Other third world countries exist entirely on Western charity; New Guinea, on which is spent over half of Australia's foreign aid, is an example. Areas of South-East Asia and the Indian sub-continent depend for what order they know on the control of malnutrition and endemic disease — again accomplished by Western money and medicine. Even Japan's

prosperity is built on our technological and consumer economies. It is difficult to propose any non-white or communist nation that could rival even a stagnated West if our support were withdrawn.

Even more fascinating is the likely fate of the Soviet Union. The oppression of the Soviet people is only as covert as it need be, and the cases of Hungary and Czechoslovakia show the regime's willingness to use overt force whenever necessary. The fact that the Soviet Union after sixty years can only maintain communism through actual or threatened coercion proves that there is insufficient value-concensus to maintain it in any other way. Bluntly, communism's victims don't like it.

Remember that half the USSR's food comes from the privately-owned 1.5% of its agricultural land, while most of the rest is a gift from the West in the form of European butter, American grain and so forth. If we became unable to continue this charity then the regime would be faced with serious famine and the need for impossibly rapid change — economic and hence social. Either would result in widespread disorder, and the historical record suggests that in such circumstances any riots would be suppressed with exceptional severity, thus further increasing the grievances of the people. Vital resources would have to be diverted to the new problem of domestic resistance, giving subject nations to the south and west of Russia an unparalleled opportunity to try again for independence. Any resultant mobilisation in Red China would hasten that Soviet collapse which dependence on coercion ultimately guarantees.

PRIVILEGE OF PROSPERITY

This scenario assumes the white West stagnating enough to be unable to preserve order and prosperity in the rest of the world. If it should come to this, then by definition there would be no need to fear our entanglement in foreign problems, since that is a privilege of prosperity. The chaos that would ensue would be enough to restore us to our rightful position in the world.

Fortunately a pre-emptive communist military attack is unlikely for several reasons. One is the nuclear deterrent. The Soviets tend to regard war in the classical manner of Clausewitz, rather than holding the democratic view which allows no substitute for victory. Another is that, as Major-General Fuller explained in *The Conduct of War*, nuclear capacity has replaced obsolete total

war with proxy engagements as in Vietnam and Angola. Finally, the Soviets have more sense than to attack the source of their success — to kill the golden goose.

Less impossible is a *Camp of the Saints* nightmare, probably directed by Red China. This has been occurring gradually over the last decade in Australasia, northern America, and to a lesser extent Europe. The main question is whether it is likely to prove fatal if nationalism fails to come to power in time to prevent it, and this involves speculating on whether there can be enough aliens here to genetically overwhelm us before our total slump precludes the possibility of their coming. This is not the place to weigh the chances, but it seems unlikely. Public demand will curtail immigration slightly, while economic and social decline will further reduce the rate. Finally, a last-minute invasion of immense scale would require a combination of chance occurrences such as to make it improbable. Unfortunately, since it is a question of timing, the possibility of genetic swamping by humanoids cannot be totally discounted.

The final danger lies in the threat of domestic communism — but not from the lunatic left that sporadically contests elections, and whose support will always remain derisory. They will remain a sideshow to the usual communist technique of penetrating traditional institutions such as trade unions, churches and the media. Because their theory has to insist that we are still basically the same sort of society as we were a century ago, they cannot change their methods greatly.

This leftist intellectual straight-jacket is our great security. It is a simple equation that the greater their penetration of our traditional institutions, the greater the erosion of the authority of these institutions. As stated earlier, the sight of bishops throwing bricks by proxy only tends to reduce their congregations, while many Christians have ceased to practice as a consequence of their church's involvement with the World Council. This erosion cuts both ways: it destroys the present communist strong-holds while simultaneously preventing us from appealing for help to any of these traditional institutions — the usual error of the respectable right.

Unable to gain electoral support, and strangling their own power-bases, the left-wing extremists are in a difficult position. It is resolved only by understanding that communism is merely one of the tools by which the forces of internationalism hope to advance. Even if the internationalists were prepared to jockey communism into power here in the hope of thereby gaining for themselves the prize of global power, we have seen that beyond a certain point both the means and the reasons are lacking. We will see in the next of these essays that the main use of communism will be to try to retard the nationalist threat to internationalist hegemony.

THE ZIONIST LIE MACHINE DOES ITS WORST

Contd. from page 11

team operates). However, if the idea was to point out that this was the way I was thinking in the 1960s, my reply is: so what? I have never denied that a decade or more ago I did think that a government along Nazi or Fascist lines was the best thing for this country. I later came to change my opinion on this point and the proof of this is that the National Front today is in no way a Nazi or Fascist organisation, either in its internal constitution or in the type of society or method of government that it advocates for this country. Our party is one of converts from other parties and creeds: Conservative, Socialist, Liberal — yes, and Fascist! So what is *World in Action* proving — other than that it uses the services of forgers and thieves?

I said earlier in this report that the *World in Action* programme featured an alleged 'infiltrator' who probably was not an infiltrator at all. They did, however, produce one individual who had genuinely infiltrated the movement. This was a pathetic little Irish nut named Considine, who, when he spoke, reminded one of the type of IRA man who blows himself into the hereafter trying to plant bombs in the dark with the aid of a lighted match. Considine was in fact one of the best proofs of the NF policy of non-violence, for he had been in the party a year or so ago and then left it running to the local press in East London with hair-raising stories of what went on on the inside — stories similar to those he related on the programme: violence, torture, gas chambers and much else. If ever we were inclined to inflict injury on someone, it would have been on Considine himself following his previous activities. Yet here he was on television more than a year later none the worse for wear. It hardly accords with the image the programme was trying to project. They really should have been a bit more thorough and filmed him with crutches, swathed in bandages and with a patch over his eye — I am surprised that Mr. Lapping did not think of this!

OFFICIAL COMPLAINT

Following the broadcast I wrote to the Chairman of the Independent Broadcasting Authority with an official complaint against the programme and demanding a right of reply. I gave a long list of the falsehoods contained in the programme, of which here I have only mentioned a few of the most prominent examples. I stated in addition that the producer had clearly dishonoured an undertaking he had given to me that I would be given the facility to answer all the charges made against the NF and to this purpose would be given about 4 minutes broadcasting time, not the 1 minute that I eventually was given. So far I have received

just a non-committal reply saying that the IBA is "discussing" the matter with Granada. We in the meantime are making enquiries as to what legal action can be taken if we do not get satisfaction in this matter.

As I write these words nearly a month later and reflect back on the affair my overwhelming memory is that of having been in the presence of something vile, unclean, sinister and diabolically evil. For most of my adult life I have been a student of the history of conspiracy in the political world, for I am convinced that the sickening demise of our country in the 20th Century can be explained in no other context but that of conspiracy. To most of us the chance is given only to read about conspiracy and then to relate it to other events we read about. Here I was afforded the chance to get one small glimpse of this conspiracy first hand. The impression with which it leaves one is that of having strayed into an underworld inhabited by creatures of reptilian aspect, covered in a coating of green slime. As my colleagues and I met and talked with the staff of that programme we could not feel that we were dealing with ordinary human beings — human beings who may have different views to ourselves but who nevertheless could reasonably be expected to understand normal human standards of honour, openness, fairness and decency; each of us could feel only that he was facing something satanic, the aura of dark, subversive forces being overwhelming. Here in the alien eyes surrounding us on all sides was the world revolution.

The same impression is received when

one listens to the programme itself. I have played back a tape-recording of the broadcast several times since it took place and I am struck by the voice of the main narrator. It is not a normal human voice; it is a voice that belongs to the catacombs, to dank subterranean alleys of the kind which in 1917 spewed their contents out onto the surface to convulse and enslave Russia and thereafter a major part of the world. It is a voice to which one can fit features of a type familiar to police files in any of Europe's principal capitals. It is the voice of world revolution.

The revolutionary octopus, however, has come a long way from the catacombs and the tunnels. He is no longer something which skulks beneath the surface planning and plotting for a later day. He occupies the commanding heights of one of Britain's vital organs of mass communication and from those heights purveys lies and sedition as the daily diet of Britons in their tens of millions. Could there be anything more foolish than to think that this affects only the likes of the National Front? One thinks of the mass of respectable citizens among our fellow countrymen and women not yet prepared perhaps to support the NF, going mechanically about their daily business, oblivious of this evil which is taking hold of their lives and shaping their destinies.

For if such a satanic power can be used to blacken and slander our movement the mind boggles at what other purposes it can be used for. It behoves every responsible Briton, pro-National Front or otherwise, to wake up to this power before its tentacles crush what remains of the life of our nation.

How to obtain SPEARHEAD

Spearhead is available from our office to those who wish to ensure obtaining copies for themselves every month and to those who wish to obtain quantities for redistribution.

Those wishing for copies for themselves each month should take out a subscription by filling in the form below and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the amount applicable.

NAME

ADDRESS

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick in box where applicable):—

British Isles: £3.56 Overseas unsealed surface mail: £3.80 Overseas sealed surface mail: £5.72

Unsealed air-mail Europe: £5.36 Unsealed air-mail Canada, U.S.A., South America, Africa, Middle East: £5.66 Unsealed air-mail Australia, New Zealand, Far East: £6.38

Please note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is by international money order; if remittance is by cheque an additional charge of 25p applies, as our bankers require this as commission for the handling of all foreign cheques.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:—

10-19 copies: 16p ea.; 20-49 copies: 14p ea.; 50-99 copies: 12p ea.; 100-199 copies: 11p ea.; 200-499 copies: 9p ea.; 500 copies and over: 8p ea. (For advice on postal rates, please contact our office).

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to: 91 Connaught Road, Teddington, Middlesex

The Editor,
Spearhead,
91 Connaught Road,
Teedington, Middx.

Letters

SIR: I think *Spearhead* for June is excellent.

As regards Dr. Johnson's oft-quoted derogatory remark about "patriotism", it is not generally realised that this term had a connotation in his time somewhat different from the meaning it has today. Johnson was in fact tilting at the revolutionary thinking in France where the term "patriotic" was solely associated with the lumpen proletariat (all equal citizens) as opposed to loyalty to King, Country and Constitution.

H. B. ISHERWOOD
Ringwood, Hants

SIR: Congratulations to Bill Hopkins on his penetrating analysis 'Ecclesiastica Hypocrita' in your May edition. This was a most valuable summary of the decline and perversion of the Church and its ever growing contribution to British national decay and the planned triumph of Marxism.

For decades the Church has been turning away from its Western origins and rationale to embrace the Marxist perversions of equality and universalism. Any demonstration of the genetic and racial inequalities inherent in mankind or the superiority of White Western civilisation is now fanatically attacked by a Church whose Cross is being replaced by the Hammer and Sickle. Hence its attacks on the National Front.

For those who still believe that the Church can never be polluted and misused by Communism for its evil ends, consider this 1963 quote attributed to a senior Communist Party member: "The (Communist) Party has soft pedalled atheism for years and now we are dropping it completely. Confusing the political problems we have with religious matters is asinine. By far the most progress the Party is making today is being made through the Churches. I expect to see a complete convergence of the Catholic Church and the (Communist) Party within the next 50 years. The shadow of this is clearly foreshown in Poland. Perhaps you have heard of PAX? This is a Catholic lay organisation run by Communist priests tolerated by both the Party and the Church. You may yet live to see the day when the dictatorship of the proletariat will

be proclaimed by the Pope!"

Until the Christian Church acknowledges its complete loyalty to the White race and Western civilisation from which it sprung, then it will continue to decline in spiritual and temporal power and relevance to become a mere tool of the Anti-Christian enemy in the attempted imposition of Marxist, multi-racial tyranny upon the British nation.

ANTHONY REED-HERBERT
Organiser, Leicester Branch
National Front

SIR: Watching the regular pre-by-election television attack on the National Front (this time the honour of presenting it fell to *World in Action*, so one must assume they draw lots for it), I was at first amazed at the effrontery of those whose brainchild it was. Surely, I thought, not even the television hacks believed that the Great British Public would swallow the myth of the "Special Branch" link-man whose shadowy head and busily typing fingers ran like a commercial leitmotiv throughout the half-hour programme!

Alas! many of them did. For several

days after the programme I heard it discussed by people of whose intelligence I had, until then, had no doubts.

Yet when I said to them: "Look here, you surely do not believe that a Special Branch Officer, carrying out his duties of penetrating and reporting back on an allegedly 'dangerous' organisation, at the tax-payers' expense, would be permitted — even under the present Home Secretary — to hand over his findings to a commercial television company for publication and to appear, even anonymously, in a film about his activities? The whole suggestion is ludicrous."

When I said that, the scales fell from their eyes. They realised how absurd it indeed was.

I have no doubt that the professional mind-benders of the television world are so flushed with success that they will continue to overdo the dosage in this way, resulting in more and more rejection and nausea in the body politic. We must watch out for such gaffes and see that they are given the wide publicity they deserve.

BEE CARTHEW
Twickenham, Middlesex

LETTER OF THE MONTH

Spearhead publishes the best letter to the press on National Front policy every month. Send your cutting to us not later than the 15th of the previous month. You could win a £1 Nationalist Books voucher. This month's winner (below) was published in the *Bury Times*.

Sir, I wonder what exactly Mr. Hyman means when he refers to the National Front "pushing" (I would prefer "supporting") a very strong traditional view of the family? If he means that our view of life includes a preference for strong, close families, then he is correct. If he means that parents should love their offspring, then he is correct. If he means that parents should be responsible for the welfare and behaviour of their children, then he is correct. If he means that the traditional family produces decent, law abiding, responsible citizens, then he is right — the N.F. does hold these views.

The breakdown of family life with the resultant abdication of parental responsibilities is one of the causes of the pathetic plight of a lot of our young people today. They appear to have no aims, no initiative, no sense of purpose save one. From infancy they are led to believe that the only "raison d'être" is the pursuit of pleasure. How they pursue and obtain

these "pleasures" matters not. The sole object is to (distasteful phrase) "do your own thing".

Instead of children being left in peace to enjoy their childhood, they are subjected to pressures to which no child should be exposed. From the Left they are told their "rights". These would appear to be limitless, though I have yet to determine exactly what they are. From trendy do-gooders and social workers (from whom dear Lord deliver us) they can pick up ready-made excuses for muggings, vandalism or any sort of bad behaviour. From the media they are led to believe that violence, sex (whether normal or abnormal) and anarchy are the order of the day.

If to uphold traditional family life means upholding decency and order, Mr. Hyman should realise that this attitude is not the sole preserve of the N.F. If we frown upon abortion being used in place of contraception, this feeling also is not exclusive to N.F. members.

Just for the record we are greatly appalled by baby-

battering and seal slaughtering, also, Miss Breshnihan).

If to uphold "traditional family life" means that we encourage parents to protect their children against exploitation, then we plead guilty with pride.

I would also point out to Mr. Hyman, his S.W.P. colleagues and any interested social workers, that were we all as contemptuous of the traditional values as they appear to be, their meeting would have been impossible to hold. The N.F. being traditionalists, believe that violence against other people is not the way to win either sympathy or votes. Unless, of course, the aim is a country ruled only by violence and fear — such as most Communist countries are!

I would add only a little advice to Miss Breshnihan: if you really want to set up as an authority on N.F. policies, do read them first. From Communist sources you will be fed only Communist doctrine.

S.H.J. Cornish (Mrs)
Press Officer
Bedford National Front

Trouble shooting

More about "Anti-Semitism"

Newcomers to British Nationalist politics sometimes ask me if I do not provide justification for accusations of "anti-semitism" by writing about the activities and statements of the leaders of Zionist Jewry so frequently in this column.

Many Gentile minds have been conditioned into accepting that to recount any fact, however true, which does not show Jewry in the best possible light is "anti-semitism". Things have got to the stage where Gentiles nowadays are even nervous about using the word "Jew" at all without employing a flattering adjective.

Hence the media will not tell us of "a Jewish boy" but "a nice Jewish boy". Jewish musicians are "talented"; Jewish writers are "gifted"; Jewish painters are "sensitive"; Jewish scientists are "brilliant"; Jewish millionaires are "philanthropic" (or "visionary"), etc.

I sometimes think that successful Fleet Street hacks have learned by rote the pro-semitic adjectives appropriate for describing Jewish persons of particular occupations rather as children used to learn multiplication tables.

This situation is in itself enough to justify the expression of an irreverent viewpoint on the subject of Zionist Jewry. But it is not my principal justification.

I write a lot about Zionist Jewry because Zionist Jewry is powerful. To be sure various other ethnic groups exist in Britain which pursue, or would like to pursue, objectives not in the best interests of the British nation. But none of these is a millionth part as powerful as the Zionist Jewish community.

As its name implies, the Zionist Jewish community is mobilised in service of the worldwide Zionist movement which demands the political allegiance of Jews everywhere regardless of the obligations of their current citizenship.

Politics is about power. Zionist Jews own ten of the 12 biggest companies operating in Britain. They predominate in the world of banking. They are represented in Parliament and in government disproportionately to their percentage of the general population. They own at least two of the biggest commercial TV companies. They have huge holdings in the newspaper and book publishing industry. This is real power.

Is an ethnic group as powerful as this whose fundamental loyalty is not to Britain but to themselves — with Israel as the focus of their self-worship — to be ignored by a

magazine that exists to speak up in defence of British interests?

"The land I love"

Sir Charles Clore has reason to be grateful to Britain. He was given the freedom to carve himself an industrial and property empire and his wealth (multi-millions) won him a knighthood.

In gratitude for all Britain has done for him, he is now moving into a luxury apartment in Monaco in order to dodge Britain's taxes. In a recent report he said that he would like Britain's tax structure to be changed "So that I can live in the land that I love."

This is, of course, sheerest humbug. The land he loves is Israel to which country he has willed his entire fortune when he dies.

Banking "in the blood"

A fascinating insight into Zion's upper echelons was given by *Guardian* journalist Terry Coleman in an interview, published on July 26th, with Sir Claus Moser, retiring Director of the Central Statistical Office and Vice-Chairman designate of the Rothschild Bank.

"I wish my parents were alive," says Claus Moser, "because I am going to be a banker, which is what I was going to be when I was a little boy." Sir Claus comes from a family of Berlin bankers who were dispossessed by Hitler because they were Jews. He arrived in England before the war as a refugee. For the last eleven years he has been chief statistician to the Prime Minister, advising Wilson, Heath, Wilson again and then Callaghan . . .

"In Berlin the Mosers lived near the equivalent of the Mall . . . when his grandmother died in 1936 the family left. It was not a midnight escape. They were able to take many of their possessions and pictures . . .

"In London in 1940 . . . he and his father were arrested. The first time they were behind wire was not in Germany but at Lingfield race course. The women were separated from the men. They slept on mattresses in stables. Their watches and personal belongings were taken from them . . .

"When he was released after three months . . . he went to the London School of Economics and then to Cambridge . . . Then he wanted a job, and asked Laski who he says was a great man for pulling strings.

"He wanted to go into the RAF as a bomber pilot, and Laski knew an Air

Marshal. But nothing came of it . . . Towards the end of the war he became an interpreter with a team which toured Europe inspecting the damage done by RAF bomber raids . . .

"Why was he leaving statistics at this moment? . . . the prospect of a third career in banking had been exciting . . . he was going to do what he had originally been intended for. His father had known the Rothschilds . . . He was going full circle, wasn't he, to a merchant bank like his father's, and to a German merchant bank? — And a Jewish bank."

"He showed me a family tree of the Rothschilds in a folding chart which there was not enough room to extend fully. He pointed out the name of the Rothschild who was born in the Frankfurt ghetto and had nothing until someone told him how to exchange coins. That man had a son, Nathan, who founded the London branch of Rothschild.

"I'm frightened of it," he said. "I'm frightened of it because it's a bit of a mystery to me . . . I don't know whether I'll be good at it."

"It was in the blood, as it were? — We'll see."

"At a loss"

"Brighton has come to the top of the most ignominious league table in the business calendar — the annual tally of bankruptcies." So said the *Daily Telegraph* on August 2nd in an account of the Department of Trade's bankruptcy report for 1977.

There were 117 receiving orders in the Brighton area last year. Both the DoT and the Federation of Sussex Industries were reported to be "at a loss" to explain Brighton's notoriety.

Any attempt to try and explain the Brighton figures by means of a survey to determine a possible correlation between the town's high bankruptcy rate and the above average number of businessmen living in it of alien ethnic backgrounds would, no doubt, be unhelpful.


Incidentally, champion bankrupt for 1977 was landlord Mr. Ramon Greene who had estimated liabilities of £15 million. The laurels for 1978 seem likely to go to Mr. William Stern, another landlord, who hit the jackpot with debts amounting to £104 million.

In fairness to Brighton, let it be said that this year's *victor ludorum* does not reside in that bracing resort. No, his home is a £500,000 mansion in Golders Green, North London.

Young leaders who give our party a future

Perhaps the most encouraging proof that the National Front is a permanent feature of the British political scene is the abundance of young people of great ability who are growing up in the party, and who are clearly determined to make service to the Nationalist cause their principal career.

Two young men who have come to prominence in a very important way this year are **Anthony Reed-Herbert** and **Philip Gegan**. Both these young men are qualified solicitors and the founding partners of the new Leicester-based law firm, Reed-Herbert, Gegan & Co. In addition to their hard work as local NF officials (Mr. Reed-Herbert is the Organiser of Leicester Branch and was the NF candidate in the Birmingham Ladywood by-election; Mr. Gegan is the Regional




Anthony Reed-Herbert and Philip Gegan

Agent for East Anglia), they have been the instructing solicitors in a number of recent court cases in various parts of the country where NF members have been defendants. They also instructed the leading Queen's Counsel who successfully defended at Warwick Crown Court recently the first victims of the new "escape proof" Race Relations Act. They are also instructing solicitors in the National Front's current High Court action against Greater Manchester Council, which refused the hire of a hall for a public meeting to the NF candidate in the recent Moss Side by-election.

Mr. Reed-Herbert has been responsible for the build-up of Leicester Branch, which has accomplished much fine work in local elections, and which together with satellite Branches and Groups in Leicestershire will be promoting 14 candidates at the next General Election. Mr. Gegan is a regular contributor of articles to *Spearhead*, particularly on economic affairs, and one such appears in this issue.

Another young man who has shown leadership as well as considerable public speaking abilities during the past two years is **Michael Salt**, the Press and Elections Officer for Wandsworth Branch, south London. When Michael Salt and a number of other young members of Wandsworth Branch took over committee positions fifteen months ago, the Branch was moribund and in financial debt, and had no firm plans to fight any of the Borough's four constituencies in the General Election. Today, the strongest Branch in south-west London, Wandsworth holds regular meetings attended by an average of sixty members, has a candidate nominated for each of the Borough's constituencies, and has over £1,500 in cash and assets which includes the Branch's own transit van.




Michael Salt

tuencies in the General Election. Today, the strongest Branch in south-west London, Wandsworth holds regular meetings attended by an average of sixty members, has a candidate nominated for each of the Borough's constituencies, and has over £1,500 in cash and assets which includes the Branch's own transit van.

Michael Salt polled the highest number of votes (3,026) of any NF candidate in the May 1977 GLC elections when he stood in Newham North East. Since that time he has been co-opted as a member of the National Directorate's Elections Department, and is adopted as NF prospective Parliamentary candidate for Battersea North in the General Election. A former DHSS Executive Officer, Mr. Salt is now a Personnel Officer by profession.

John Roberts first hit the headlines as the fiery young NF candidate in the Thurrock (Essex) Parliamentary by-election in July




John Roberts

1976. He led a dynamic, publicity-winning campaign involving a lively march which featured an appearance by Race Act rebel Robert Relf, who had recently been released from jail. After this successful campaign, Mr. Roberts, an engineer by profession, devoted himself to promoting the election preparedness of Branches in the East London and Essex region. His proficiency at election work led to his co-option to the National Directorate's Elections Department. His good work during the Ilford (Essex) by-election in February led to his appointment as full-time campaign manager for the period of the rough-and-tumble by-election at

Wycombe (Bucks.), where the National Front held its vote (2,040) and increased its percentage on a reduced poll. As Agent for the East London & Essex Regional Council, John Roberts is presently occupied with the immediate supervision of *NF News* sales drives at Brick Lane market, Bethnal Green, East London on Sunday mornings, which have become the focus of violent attacks by ANAL thugs. Mr. Roberts has been adopted by Barking Branch as the NF prospective Parliamentary candidate for Dagenham.

Ray Dowler, Bristol Branch Organiser, claims to be the West Country's No. 1 NF Activist — and his claim seems to be backed by the Bristol Branch of ANAL, who are so terrified of him and his young cohorts that the description and registration number of his landrover have been circulated by means of posters in Chinese restaurants, curry houses and Students' Union notice boards throughout the city! Together with Philip Gannaway, Bristol Branch Chairman, Mr. Dowler, who is also Regional Organiser for Western England, has helped to promote a big crop of Parliamentary candidates at the General Election. All of the five constituencies in Bristol will be contested (Mr.



Ray Dowler

Dowler's wife Josephine will be Wedge Benn's opponent), as well as a number throughout the Region.

Ray Dowler is an effective Regional Organiser, constantly on the move, as his work as a salesman takes him all over the West country. He also finds time to be one of the party's key National Stewards and unfailingly attends major national activities with his West country stalwarts. His attractive wife Jo is also a devoted NF activist, and is Secretary of the Western Regional Council.

These are just some of the talented, hard-working and courageous young leaders which the National Front is generating in even greater numbers. That our party is able to retain the devotion of young people of their calibre is a sure sign of its stability and growth in the future.

READ

BULLDOG
Organ of the Young National Front

Support for YNF still growing



Barking in East London was where the Young National Front really began. Joe Pearce, now National Organiser of YNF and editor of *Bulldog*, organised the first YNF unit attached to Barking Branch at the very start of the youth movement.

Little wonder then that youngsters in

NF trade unionist fights for freedom

The campaign by the Marxist elements who dominate Norwich Trades Council against National Front stalwart Mr. William 'Bill' Fitt has culminated in the question of his active National Front membership and his holding of local Transport & General Workers' Union office being brought to the attention of the T&GWU National Executive. The Reds have been campaigning to hound Mr. Fitt, a long distance lorry driver, out of any office in the Union.

The T&GWU new General Secretary, Mr. Moss Evans, has recently written to Mr. Fitt asking for his comments. To indicate his contempt for this bureaucratic tyranny, Mr. Fitt replied to Mr. Evans on National Front Norwich Branch notepaper.

"I don't care what the Reds on Norwich Trades Council or the national Union bosses think of my politics," Bill told reporters recently. "We are supposed to be living in a democracy, after all. The thing that gives me comfort is that all of the lads at my depot — even those who are not National Front supporters — are right behind me."

Bill Fitt is one of the men who helped build the Nationalist movement in Britain. A foundation member of the National Front in 1967, he was previously the Norwich Organiser of the British National Party. In the years since he formed Norwich Branch of the National Front, he has assisted in the development of the NF throughout the East Anglia region, sponsoring the formation of Branches and Groups in Ipswich, Lowestoft, Great Yarmouth, Kings Lynn and Cambridge.

Barking turned onto the streets in large numbers recently to show their support for the National Front and their contempt for the rabble of "anti-racists" who marched through the town. Our picture shows a section of the crowd of young NF supporters as they booed and jeered the effluent procession which included the Indian Workers' Association, the Socialist Workers' Party, the Young Communists and the Labour Party.

Pro-British youngsters like these are still flocking to join Young National Front in their hundreds all over the country. New YNF units have been formed attached to Branches in East Herts, Dartford, Canterbury, Ashford, Dover & Deal, Sevenoaks, Haringey and Islington. YNF discos have been held recently in Swale and Southend, and the YNF is planning a special anniversary celebration of the historic march through Lewisham last August, in which young NF activists played such a prominent part.

£100 raised on four hour walk



More Barking activism. This time from Branch member Harry Marshall, who completed a sponsored walk of 15 miles across 11 London bridges carrying a large Union Jack and wearing four NF rosettes! His effort raised £100 for the election fund of his Branch. At one point, police stopped him to ask if he was a march. One woman chased him, but it turned out she only wanted to know whether there was a Chelsea Branch, and how could she join.

NEWS FROM THE MOSS SIDE MULTI-RACIAL JUNGLE

"National Front members are likely to hang from the gallows if they ever attempt to walk the streets of Moss Side," announced the 'moderate' Black newspaper *West Indian World* following the recent Manchester byelection there.

This was a reference to a gang of Immigrant thugs called the Black People's Political Alliance which was formed to combat the NF during the election.

NF stewards successfully fought off an attack by these thugs when, wielding wooden clubs and iron bars, the Blacks attacked the crowd listening to an open-air address by NF Chairman John Tyndall on the Saturday before polling day. Later, one of the gang's hit-and-run cars was deliberately driven at an NF member from Rochdale, breaking his leg. The same car then attempted to drive the mini-bus carrying John Tyndall,

his wife and other NF members off the motorway by careering across it and hurling bricks at the windscreens. This sustained attack lasted for 40 miles until the police finally arrested four Blacks at the Keele motorway service area.

The police decided that this straightforward case of dangerous driving and attempted murder was "political" and therefore much more "complicated" when they discovered that it involved NF Chairman John Tyndall. It is still being "investigated".

The Labour party on the Council assisted their friends in the Black People's Political Alliance by denying Herbert Andrew, the NF candidate, his lawful right to hold a public election meeting to convey his message to what White voters remain in this multi-racial jungle. The case is still pending before the High Court.

ALBERT ELDER

THE PASSIONATE BELIEF OF THE JEWS IN RACE

I AM constantly being asked by Socialists and others why I, the son of very orthodox Jewish parents, am an active member of the National Front, and this is my reply.

Personal contact over many years with Christian clergymen and orthodox Jewish rabbis has convinced me that Christian clergymen are sincere but very naive simpletons, whereas orthodox rabbis are highly intelligent, shrewd persons well acquainted with events in the world of business and politics. For centuries the Christian churches have been preaching peace on earth and goodwill to all men. The result is that this century has seen the most devastating wars and revolutions in human history. There is no such utopian nonsense from rabbis. More than forty years ago I had the good fortune to get some excellent advice from an orthodox Jewish rabbi who told me never to trust politicians with my money or anything else, because they were liars, experts only in feathering their own nests. Very grateful for this rabbinical wisdom, I have never supported or voted for any politicians with the exception of Enoch Powell, a rare politician, who is honest, far-sighted, and a racial prophet. Events have proved him right. Powell and the National Front have consistently stood up to tell the British people the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and we can now boast and say: "We told you so."

A sound knowledge of the Jews, their religion, history, traditions and customs will enable the National Front to achieve even greater success in the future. Orthodox Jews are unique because they have a passionate belief in race, religion and nationalism which no government, not even the Soviet Union, can ever destroy. Amid centuries of persecution it has enabled them to survive and prosper.

Was not God the first racist? Did He not command the Jews to keep themselves pure by all manner of discriminatory practices? Did He not forbid them to integrate with the Gentiles? The orthodox Jew of today continues to worship the God of the Old Testament and has as little to do with the Gentiles as he can. To maintain that the Old Testament shows God on the side of the multi-racialist is to rewrite history with a vengeance.

Israel is the only state in the world based on race, religion and nationalism. Judaism totally rejects intermarriage with Gentiles as a cardinal sin leading to national genocide. Racial nationalism is the foundation and the source of the prosperity of Israel. Judaism is the only religion based on the reality of race. To be a Jew, one must be born of Jewish parents and be an heir to traditions, customs and culture going back centuries. Orthodox Judaism is the only religion which does not have missionaries and does not accept converts. Jews realise that it is sheer lunacy to expect coloured people to have anything in common with them. Quite rightly Jews believe that their way of life and their achievements are vastly superior to those of coloured peoples.

Although Judaism is a racist religion, Zionism is nationalism. Driven out of their ancient homeland, Jews have prayed three times a day for centuries that one day they might return. Race and nationalism are two of the most potent factors in human history and the fallacy of both Christianity and Marxism is to ignore these realities.

Christianity has been equated with Marxism by leftists because it lays undue emphasis on the poor, humble and oppressed who play no role in the centres of wealth and power. Judaism is completely different: it is practical and realistic. It does not regard

being wealthy as a sin and encourages its adherents to be financially and socially successful so that they can help their families and fellow Jews in many ways. A typical example among countless others is Sir Isaac Wolfson, who was unable to attend grammar school owing to the poverty of his parents. By applying Jewish characteristics of enterprise, initiative, hard work and shrewdness, he became a multi-millionaire. When asked on his 80th birthday how he wished to be remembered, Sir Isaac replied: "I do not wish to be remembered as a multi-millionaire and industrialist. I wish to be remembered as the man who built 50 synagogues in Israel." Jewish racial nationalism again.

British Jews will best serve their community by identifying with the generally held views of the British people, rather than identifying themselves with an uninvited and unwanted wave of Immigrants. Jews would be well advised to follow the approach on immigration of the Anglo-Jewish journalist Alfred Sherman who in articles in *The Daily Telegraph* saw mass-immigration as a symbol of the national death-wish in Britain's urge to self-destruction. Enoch Powell recently said this country is no longer Great Britain, no longer peaceful, no longer governable. Unless urgent steps are taken to implement massive repatriation, it will be faced with racial civil war.

Show the Flag

FLAGS, ROSETTES,
POLES ETC.
SEND STAMP FOR LIST

W. BROWN, 20 SUTTON WAY,
HESTON, MIDDX, TW5 0JA

FIND OUT ABOUT THE NATIONAL FRONT

Send 20p for information pack.

Name _____

Address _____

I enclose _____

To: NATIONAL FRONT
91 CONNAUGHT ROAD
TEDDINGTON, MIDDX.
(Tel. 01-977 2452)